Common Lab Research Infrastructure for the Arts and Humanities



CLARIAH

Jan Odijk

EuroRisNet+ Workshop, Lisbon, 2013-07-05



Overview

- Background
- Goals
- Relation with companies
- Challenges



Background

- <u>CLARIN</u> and <u>DARIAH</u> on ESFRI Roadmap (2006)
- EU-funded preparatory projects (2008-2011)
- Resulted (inter alia) in <u>CLARIN ERIC</u> (since 2012), DARIAH ERIC coming
- CLARIN and DARIAH on the 2008 NL National Roadmap, CLARIN funded (<u>CLARIN-NL</u>, 2009-2015)
- 2011 National Roadmap: CLARIN-NL and DARIAH-NL join forces resulting in <u>CLARIAH</u>
- partially successful: put on roadmap and obtained `seed money'
- 2013 National Roadmap: revised proposal to be submitted



Goals

- A technical research infrastructure in which a humanities researcher
 - Can find all data relevant for the research
 - Can find all tools relevant for the research
 - Can apply the tools to the data without any technical background or ad-hoc adaptations
 - Inter alia tools for search in and through the data
 - Can store data resulting from the research
 - Can store tools resulting from the research
- A distributed infrastructure based on one or more centres per country.



Goals

- Focus in CLARIN on research using language resources
- Focus in DARIAH broader
- Focus in CLARIAH on
 - Linguistics and language resources (esp. text)
 - Social History (esp. structured databases)
 - Media Studies (esp. audio-visual data)



Relation with Companies

- Primary user group is academic researchers
- Good support by companies
 - For <u>CLARIN-NL</u>
 - For <u>CLARIAH</u>
- Interest because of technology to extract information from a variety of structured and unstructured (text, AV-data) data (e.g. sentiment/opinion mining, <u>IBM's Watson</u>)
- Fits in nicely with NL's <u>Top Sector Policy</u>
- Role of Companies
 - User / reflection group, as potential (secondary) users
 - Implementation of certain aspects as subcontractor
 - Data Providers



Challenges (1)

- CLARIN-NL partially organized as a project, partially as a programme.
- This offered much opportunity to
 - flexibly react to new developments
 - bring in more partners
 - react to ideas and proposals coming from our prospective users.
 - Set up (unanticipated) international cooperation (e.g. with Flanders)



Challenges (1)

- Most other national CLARIN projects are tightly packed projects without this flexibility
 - Given the distributed character of the infrastructure, this makes it difficult to do things together
- Recommendation:
 - National projects should leave enough room for unanticipated international cooperation and other developments
 - their funding agencies should take this into account in their evaluation



Challenges (2): Sustainability

- Work out a business model, supported by the funding agencies, to guarantee the sustainability of services provided by the Centres in the infrastructure after the project has finished
- May require some policy changes with the funders (e.g. allow and even require funding of data management)



Challenges (3): IPR

 Use of copy-righted data for academic research should be allowed without requiring explicit permission



Challenges (4)

- National Roadmaps are not synchronized among countries
 - Big Differences in start-up and running time for each country (e.g. NL 2009, DE 2011, others not yet)
- Can be handled in a distributed infrastructure, but it is not ideal
- A limited form of synchronisation is desirable



Thank you for your attention

For more information

www.clariah.nl www.clarin.nl





CLARIAH: Industrial Interest







stm











