

The association of European Research Facilities (ERF)

Presentation

Return of experience



Jean-Pierre Caminade, ERF Executive Secretary

- **ERF: European Association of National Research Facilities Open to International Access**
 - to promote dialogue and concerted actions between national large scale facilities on key common issues in line with the operation of the facilities.
- **The Associates commit to:**
 - **coordinate the development** of forefront facilities for European research;
 - develop **mechanisms and best practices** for international provision of large-scale research facilities;
 - act as a **source of scientific and technical expert opinion** for national and European policy-making;
 - act as a **single voice with decision-makers** (representing a large constituency for large-scale research facilities) ;



- free association of facility managers funded in 2006
- ***under transformation in an AISBL (March 2013)***
- **associates are managing National Labs**
 - offering international open access to facilities and programmes;
 - carrying out research in physical, chemistry, earth, health&life, material, energy, cultural heritage and environmental sciences;
 - providing a large scientific research infrastructure;
 - developing and providing innovative experimental technologies and their application;
 - *mostly single site based;*
 - *largely involved in ESFRI projects: ESS, EuroFEL, XFEL, SPIRAL2, FAIR, ELI, HiPER, EMFL;*
 - *mostly under independent status.*

- **Members (22 running facilities, about 4800 permanent staff)**
 - DESY-Hamburg (Hasylab, PETRA III, FLASH),
 - HZB-Berlin (HMI, BESSY2),
 - STFC-Harwell (DIAMOND, ISIS, CLF),
 - MBI-Berlin (*Laserlab coordinator*),
 - FRM2-Garching,
 - LLB-Saclay,
 - SOLEIL-Saclay,
 - GANIL-Caen,
 - GSI-Darmstadt,
 - LNMI (Toulouse, Grenoble),
 - ELETTRA-Trieste,
 - BNR-Budapest,
 - PSI-Villigen (SLS, SINQ),
 - MAXLab-Lund,
 - Radboud Univ. (Nijmegen).



4 main challenges

- **Challenge 1: Give access to the facility and the data storage**
- **Challenge 2: Maintain the capacity building of the operating staff**
- **Challenge 3: Improve efficient operating activities**
- **Challenge 4: Prepare the future analytical tools that will be used in 2020**

Deliverables

Position papers on relevant questions in relation with the news

- > EC Green Paper + I3 future
- > ITER funding
- > H2020 preparation
- > Mobility of RIs' staff (joint position paper with EIROforum)

Annual seminar

- > 2009: Access to RIs (*Lund*), 110 at.
- > 2010: HR concerns (mobility) (*Villigen*, 90 at.) (joint position paper with EIROforum)
- > 2011: Energy management (*Lund*, 130 at.) (jointly with CERN and ESS)
- > 2012: Socio-economic relevance of Ris (*DESY-Hamburg* 140 at.) (Summary Report)

Collection of data

- > 2 exercises (not very convincing due to the diversity and long-term sustainability)
- > WG on harmonization of cost-control practices (2013)

ERF input in H2020 preparation

- **Open-access is a good value**
 - an equal sharing of the IPRs (*DoE: come for free, publish the « R » but leave the « D »*)
 - the free access = open publications of results (*not of ALL results*) => *data access policy !*
 - independance in evaluation : how to consider experiences funded by external agencies ?
- **Open-access : who does pay for what ?**
 - more an adapted service than a physical access to the facility
 - full cost for all ! need a comparative method for cost calculation
 - how to conciliate fundamental and private uses through excellence criteria ?
- **Invent new ways of collaborating for generating savings and innovations?**
 - joint procurement plans within RIs (energy, basic components) (*scaling effect*)
 - joint development of cutting-edge instrumentations

How we see the H2020 ?

- **Budget constrains**
 - less money for more and more demanding communities = find elsewhere in H2020 !!!
 - limit the TNA reimbursement to extra-European countries without facilities?
 - separately funding for the services provided: access, expertises, training and researches
 - save money: operational performance (maintenance), in-kind staff against free services ?
- **Legal status evolutions**
 - more and more independent facilities (outsourcing of management concerns)
 - input / output of new shareholders in facilities under exploitation (e.g. member-states?)
 - specific status adapted to regional challenges and personal view of new shareholders
 - more I3 are thinking to adopt an ERIC status (protection of basic operation)
- **New technical challenges**
 - Data (and codes !) management: how to conciliate economic efficiency, confidentiality and struggle against misconduct ?

What major changes (1) ?

- **RIs in « Science for research »**
 - a single governance (PC ?) ?
 - more interactions with ERC (SYNERGY and single grants), MC fellowships and FET
 - joint dedicated calls ? (staff mobility ? shorter stays in facility ? COFUND for all staff ? outgoing fellowship for technicians ?)
- **new role for NCPs ?**
 - more information on OTHER calls of pillar 1
 - more assistance in proposal preparation requires to be informed as early as possible
 - best practices in getting € abroad : a narrative approach ? (putting together our experience)
 - take benefit from the results of the call for interests for I3 topics (Oct.2012)
- **more integrated actions with e-infrastructures**
 - any RI is now an e-infrastructure itself
 - I3 is a success : integrate a 4th topic devoted to e-activities ?

What major changes (2) ?

- **new relations with industrial companies and universities**
 - consider RI products at their right value (TT, access to the facility)
 - marketing approach : market studies, discount on official selling prices
 - compatibility with non-profit status (tax exemption)?
 - industrial customers: find credible intermediaries (best academics? clusters? start-ups?)
 - more involvement of RIs in training packages (autonomous universities as customers)

- **new partnerships with users**
 - long-term collaborations (hosting projects close to the facilities)
 - platform concept ? with a direct access to the facility ?
 - foundation approach ? sponsorship for environment, patient associations

- **new partnerships with suppliers**
 - better anticipation in procurements (show cases, portal of announcement ?)
 - early involvement in joint development of mass products
 - adopt new methods (pre-procurement, competitive dialogue)

Thank you for your attention

<http://www.europeanresearchfacilities.eu/home.aspx>

